

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/731,458	Applicant(s) DA PALMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala	Art Unit 2164	

All Participants:

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(2) Steven M. Greenberg, Reg.No. 44,725.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner called Applicant to explain the possible rejection of claims 1 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 101. Applicant agreed and authorized for an examiner's amendment to expedite prosecution by amending claims 1 and 13.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00 am.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)